UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/538,258	06/09/2005	Reza Serafat	P3017US00	3299
	7590 07/14/201 G MORI & STEINER,	EXAMINER		
918 Prince Street			HENRY, THOMAS HAYNES	
Alexandria, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3714	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/14/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docket@dcpatent.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Comments	10/538,258	SERAFAT, REZA					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	THOMAS H. HENRY	3714					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	J. lely filed the mailing date of this α ○ (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
	-· action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		secution as to the	merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
·	,						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10,14,16,17 and 20-26</u> is/are pendir	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10,14,16,17 and 20-26</u> is/are rejecte	ed.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti			FR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			, ,				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 LLS C & 119(a)	-(d) or (f)					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 55 5.5.5. § 115(a)	(a) or (i).					
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No					
	• •	·	Ctoro				
_ ·	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	or the certified copies not receive	a.					
Attachment(s)	Λ.Π. · · · · ·	(DTO 440)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ☐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)						

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this
 Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 6, 7, 9, 10, 14, 17, 18, 20, and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Unreal Tournament 2003 (game) as evidenced by Unreal Tournament 2003 Game Manual and theadminpage.planetunreal.gamespy.com. (relied upon as extrinsic evidence only)
- 3. In re claims 1, 10, 14, 18, and 19 Unreal Tournament 2003 discloses
- Running on an apparatus of a first player a multi player game application
 (Game Manual page 9)
- Causing at least in part, by the apparatus actions that result in reception of an indication that a second player of another apparatus taking part in said multiplayer game is absent, and continuing the game by the apparatus as the first player and the second player by at least simulating the participation of said second player who is actually absent (Game Manual page 9. You can set a minimum number of players such that if a player drops out of a multi-player match, and the total number of players falls below the minimum number, the player is replaced with a bot. A bot simulates the participation of a player in

Art Unit: 3714

that it attempts to get kills. This is evidenced by theadminpage.planetunreal.gamespy.com page 5)

- 4. Applicant also claims the components necessary to run the method described (such as memory and a processor). (game manual page 2)
- 5. In re claim 2, Unreal Tournament 2003 discloses a network based multiplayer game (game manual page 9. The internet is a network)
- 6. In re claim 6, Unreal Tournament 2003 discloses said indication that a player is absent comprises a notification received from said absent player (a player "forfeits" to disconnect from the server as the indication that he is leaving. Game manual page 9)
- 7. In re claim 7, Unreal Tournament 2003 discloses sending a notification to at least one of said players of said multi-player game said notification comprising an information that the participation of at least one player is actually simulated (when a player disconnects, a new player with a bot name will be added to the score list)
- 8. In re claim 9, Unreal Tournament 2003 discloses terminating by the apparatus said simulation of the participation if said absent player returns to the game (if the player returns, he will take the place of one of the bots, theadminpage.planetunreal.gamespy.com page 5)
- 9. In re claim 17, Unreal Tournament 2003 discloses said simulation comprising an artificial intelligence component
- 10. In re claims 20 and 23, Unreal Tournament 2003 discloses activating a failsafe option to continue the game when the first player becomes unavailable

Application/Control Number: 10/538,258 Page 4

Art Unit: 3714

(the listen server may continue running even if the first player must step away from the computer.)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 11. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 12. Claims 3-5 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Unreal Tournament 2003 in view of Begis (US 6024643).
- 13. In re claims 3 and 26, Unreal tournament 2003 discloses the claimed invention except the invention claimed in claim 3, However Begis discloses
- Monitoring by the apparatus the inputs of at least one player of said multiplayer game (column 1 lines 5-11)
- Analyzing by the apparatus said inputs to determine gaming characteristics of said at least one monitored player, said gaming characteristics including one or more preferred key input combinations (column 1 lines 5-11)
- Simulating by the apparatus the participation of said monitored player who became absent in correspondence with said determined gaming characteristic (column 2 lines 65-67, column 3 lines 1-12)
- 14. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Unreal Tournament 2003 with Begis in order to allow for a more realistic experience.

15. In re claim 4, Begis discloses simulating said game and a result of said simulated game based on said determined gaming characteristics of said at least one absent player (column 3 lines 15-22) and while the game is going on, displaying the rest of the game as simulated at a speed faster than the actual time (column 6 lines 20-45)

- 16. In re claim 5, Begis discloses transferring said determined gaming characteristics to another gaming device (column 6 lines 36-52)
- 17. Claims 8, 16, 21, 22, 24, and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C 103(a) as being unpatentable over Unreal Tournament 2003.
- 18. In re claim 8, Unreal tournament 2003 discloses the claimed invention except for interruption of said game if all players are absent. However official notice is taken that stopping a game where all the players are bots was a well known technique. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to stop the game when all players stopped playing because the game would no longer have any active members interested in the game.
- 19. In re claims 16, 21, and 22 Unreal Tournament 2003 discloses the claimed invention except for the apparatus and the another apparatus are a mobile telephone., or that the second player becomes absent due to a wireless disconnection or incoming message or call of the another apparatus However playing first person shooters on mobile telephones was well known in the art at the time the invention was made. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Unreal Tournament 2003

Application/Control Number: 10/538,258 Page 6

Art Unit: 3714

with this well known gaming device in order to allow for the game to be played on a phone. Furthermore, official notice is taken that the unavailability being caused by answering a call or by a loss of wireless signal is known in the art, and it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that Unreal Tournament played on a mobile device may cause disconnects for reasons such as these, thus it would have been obvious to allow for the invention described above to be used for disconnects under these circumstances.

Response to Arguments

- 20. Applicant's arguments filed 1/04/10 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 21. Applicant argues that the 102(b) rejection is based off of a publication entitled "Unreal Tournament 2003 Game Manual". This statement is false. The rejection is based off of the video game entitled "Unreal Tournament 2003". The way that this video game works is evidenced by the game manual and an online article that describe how the game works.
- 22. Applicant argues that the newly amended claims overcome the rejection, however as Unreal Tournament teaches that the game may be played on a listen server, and that the server can substitute a bot for the absence of a second player, these new limitations do not overcome the prior art of record.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to THOMAS H. HENRY whose telephone

Art Unit: 3714

number is (571)270-3905. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9 AM - 4 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dmitry Suhol can be reached on 571-272-4430. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/John M Hotaling II/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3714

Thomas H Henry Examiner Art Unit 3714